2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the prescription cancer drug, Padcev, has on those who use it.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to diversity 2. jurisdiction under 28 U.S. Code §1332(a)(1). Plaintiff is a citizen of California. Defendants, Astellas Pharma US, Inc. and Agensys, Inc. have their principal places of businesses in Northbrook, Illinois. Defendant, Seagen, Inc. has its principal place of business in Bothell, Washington.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Terry Staten, is an adult individual who resides in Santa Barbara, 3. California. Within the statute of limitations for the claims made herein, Plaintiff suffered from Steven-Johnson Syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) as a result of taking Padcey, a prescription medication developed and manufactured by Defendants.
- Plaintiff appears in this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all 4. others similarly situated.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, Astellas Pharma US, Inc., Seagen, Inc., Agensys, Inc., and DOES 1 through 20 (hereinafter "Astellas") developed and manufactured Padcev, a prescription drug marketed to treat cancer found in the bladder.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes that DOES 1 through 100 are corporations, individuals, limited liability partnerships, limited liability companies,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

general partnerships, sole proprietorships or are other business entities or organizations of a nature not currently known to Plaintiff.

- Plaintiff is unaware of the true names of Defendants DOES 1 through 100. 7. Plaintiff sues said Defendants by said fictitious name and will amend this complaint when the true names and capacities are ascertained or when such facts pertaining to liability are ascertained, or as permitted by law or by the Court. Plaintiff is informed and believe that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is in some manner responsible for the events and allegations set forth in this Complaint.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at all 8. relevant times, each Defendant was an employer, was the principal, agent, partner, joint venture, officer, director, controlling shareholder, subsidiary affiliate, parent corporation, successor in interest and/or predecessor in interest of some or all of the other Defendants, and was engaged with some or all of the other Defendants in a joint enterprise for profit and bore such other relationships to some or all of the other Defendants so as to be liable for their conduct with respect to the matters alleged in this complaint. Plaintiff is further informed and believe and thereon allege that each Defendant acted pursuant to and within the scope of the relationships alleged above, and that at all relevant times, each Defendant knew or should have known about, authorized, ratified, adopted, approved, controlled, aided and abetted the conduct of all other Defendants. As used in this Complaint "Defendant" means "Defendants and each of them," and refers to the Defendants named in the particular cause of action and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. At all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was the co-conspirator, agent, servant, employee, and/or joint venture of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said conspiracy, agency, employment, and/or joint venture and with the permission and consent and knowledge of each of the other Defendants.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- Padcev purports to assist in treating adults with bladder cancer in an effort 10. to lengthen their life expectancy. Yet, Defendants failed to warn Plaintiff and its other users of the serious side effects to the skin that can occur when taking Padcev.
- 11. SJS and TEN are serious skin reactions that can be fatal, causing patient deaths in some situations. In the initial Padcev drug label there was a warning about general, low-level Padcev skin side effects in the Warnings and Precautions section.
- In or around March of 2021, the first Padcev label change occurred 12. wherein Defendants added warnings for skin side effects of SJS and TEN.
- 13. However, in July of 2021, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) mandated increased warnings about Padcev skin side effects SJS and TEN in the form of "black box" warnings with the bolded title "WARNING: SERIOUS SKIN REACTIONS".
- Mr. Staten was diagnosed with bladder cancer. He was 77 years old at the 14. time. He received medical care from a hematology oncologist named Julian Davis,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

M.D.	from	Ridley	Tree	Cancer	Center	and	then	out	of UCLA	Santa	Barbara	Cance
Care.												

- 15. Dr. Davis first prescribed Keytruda to Mr. Staten and it did not work the way Dr. Davis had anticipated. Thus, Dr. Davis prescribed Mr. Staten Padcev. Mr. Staten's insurance approved the prescription on June 16, 2021. His first injection of the drug occurred on June 29, 2021; second dose on July 6, 2021; third dose on July 13, 2021; fourth dose on July 27, 2021; fifth dose on August 3, 2021; and sixth dose on August 10, 2021.
- 16. On August 17, 2021, Mr. Staten laid down in bed and had difficulty breathing. He began to feel severe pain to his entire body because his skin felt like it was as burning. 911 was called and Mr. Staten was rushed to Santa Barbara Cottage Hospital. Mr. Staten spent five weeks in the medical intensive care unit (MICU) and three more weeks at Cottage Hospital. Mr. Staten was then transferred to Buena Vista Care Center in Santa Barbara.
- As a result of taking Padcev, Mr. Staten's skin experienced necrosis. 17. Necrosis occurs when too little blood flows to the tissue. Mr. Staten had painful rashes that spread, blistered, and peeled. He also lost approximately 62 lbs. over this eightweek span of time.
- 18. After advising Dr. Davis about these adverse skin reactions, Dr. Davis discontinued Mr. Staten prescription of Padcev.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLASS DEFINITIONS AND CLASS ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other 19. similarly situated persons as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23. The members of the Class are defined as follows:

All persons residing in the United States and its Territories who, during the period beginning two (2) years prior to the filing of this Complaint and continuing through the date of resolution of this case, that took the prescription drug PADCEV and were harmed.

- 20. This action has been brought and may be properly maintained as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23 and other applicable law.
- 21. Numerosity of the Classes: Members of the class are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. Plaintiff estimates that there are no less than 1,000 persons in the identified class. The precise number of class members and their addresses are unknown to Plaintiff. However, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the number can be obtained through Defendants' records of who Padcev was sent to for use then those records of the patients that were prescribed Padcev. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by conventional mail, electronic mail, the Internet, or published notice.
- 22. **Existence of Predominance of Common Questions of Fact and Law:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the class. These questions predominate over any questions effecting only individual members of the class. These common factual and legal questions include:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(a) Whether Defend	ants knew of th	e potential skin	reaction side	effects
that occurred to people who used	Padcev;			

- (b) Whether the skin reaction side effects posed a substantial danger when Padcev was used;
- (c) Whether ordinary consumers would not have recognized the potential skin reaction side effects of Padcev;
- (d) Whether Defendants failed to warn of the potential skin reaction side effects;
- Whether Defendants raise any affirmative defenses that are (e) universal in application.
- 23. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will adequately and fairly protect the interests of the 24. members each of the class. Plaintiff has no interest adverse to the interests of absent class members. Plaintiff is represented by legal counsel who has substantial class action experience in civil litigation.
- 25. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the class and would be beneficial for the parties and the court. Class action treatment will allow a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum, simultaneously,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would require. The monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small, and the burden and expense of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of each class to seek and obtain relief. A class action will serve an important public interest by permitting such individuals to effectively pursue recovery of the sums owed to them. Further, class litigation prevents the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments raised by individual litigation.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION STRICT LIABILITY – FAILURE TO WARN (By Plaintiff and the Class against all Defendants)

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 25 of this complaint as though fully alleged herein.
- 27. Padcev was developed and manufactured by Defendants. At the time that Padcev was manufactured, Defendants knew that consumers that used Padcev could have dangerous skin reaction side effects similar to that of SJS and TEN. Nonetheless, Defendants failed to adequately warn Plaintiff and those similarly situated of such skin reaction side effects.
- 28. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated took Padcev. The lack of sufficient warnings was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff and other putative class members' harm.

Los Angeles, CA 90014 (213) 225-6150

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN
(By Plaintiff and the Class against all Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 28 of this complaint as though 29. fully alleged herein.
- As alleged herein above, Padcev was developed and manufactured by 30. Defendants. At the time that Padcev was manufactured, Defendants knew that consumers that used Padcev could have dangerous skin reaction side effects similar to that of SJS and TEN. Nonetheless, Defendants failed to adequately warn Plaintiff and those similarly situated of such skin reaction side effects. Plaintiff and the putative class members are informed and believe and thereon allege that a reasonable manufacturer, distributor, or seller of Padcev would have adequately warned consumers of the dangerous skin reaction side effects of Padcev.
- Plaintiff, and those similarly situated took Padcev. The lack of sufficient 31. warnings was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff and other putative class members' harm.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FRAUD - CONCEALMENT (By Plaintiff and the Class against all Defendants)

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 31 of this complaint as though fully alleged herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at the time 33. Defendants developed, manufactured, and sold Padcev to the public, they knew that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the skin reaction side effects while taking Padcev were dangerous. Nonetheless, Defendants failed to warn the general public about it. These omissions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff and the putative class members.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (By Plaintiff and the Class against all Defendants)

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 33 of this complaint as though fully alleged herein.
- Plaintiff alleges, in the alternative, that the concealment of material facts 35. set forth herein above by Defendants were done negligently and recklessly, proximately resulting in injuries and damages to Plaintiff and the putative class members.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, pray for relief and judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. That this action be certified as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23;
- That judgment be entered for Plaintiff and the class against Defendants 2. for damages;
- That judgment be entered for Plaintiff and the class against Defendants 3. for punitive damages for committing fraud;

7.

4		That the Court award prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the
maximu	ım le	gal rate;
5		That the Court award attorneys' fees;
6) .	That the Court awarding cost of suit herein; and

All such other and further relief as the Court deems just.

Date: March 14, 2023 McCATHERN LLP

By: Cvan Selik
EVAN SELIK
CHRISTINE C. ZAOUK
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
TERRY STATEN

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury for himself and the Class on all claims so triable.

Date: March 14, 2023 McCATHERN LLP

By: Evan Selik

EVAN SELIK CHRISTINE C. ZAOUK Attorneys for Plaintiff, TERRY STATEN